ISAE Embedded systems master

Evaluation – Exercises and questions

4 mars 2019

Embedded systems and computer security

Advice to students and supervisors : course documents (either furnished by the school or hand-written during oral courses by the student himself) are allowed during the examination, a standard calculator too (for calculation purposes only) and blank paper sheets for draft.

All other documents or media access (like Internet) are not allowed, unless direct explicit authorization from the session supervisor.

Please, write your answers on the document itself in the place reserved.

Student name and surname :

Part I (10 pts)

This first part consists of ten questions (1 pt per question) with multiple answers proposed among which you must select the appropriate one. Unless explicitly indicated, only one answer is the correct one.

Attention, the following notation system will be used : Correct answer : 1 point added False/no answer : 0 point

Q1 Which of the following faults is related to those considered in safety?

- □ 2019 superball finals playback failure on in-flight entertainment system.
- \Box Car robbery.
- \Box Exam results tampering.
- \Box Non functioning plane fuel gauge.
- \Box Car flat tire.

Q2 Which of the following data destruction technique is seriously **unsecure** (for conventional hard drive storage) ? NB: Do not try any of those without adequate protection.

- □ 64 passes Mersenne twister overwrite.
- \square Plasma torch melting (~10000°K).
- \Box Insertion into a tree cutter (<4 cm³ grid).
- □ Low level logical repartitioning.
- \Box Compression by a steam roller (25 to 27 t) on hard floor.

Q3 Which type of security vulnerability is *not* a bug?

- \Box Buffer overflow.
- □ User-defined permissive access rights.
- \Box SQL injection via user names.
- \Box Arithmetic overflow.
- □ Format string incorrect parsing.

Q4 What is the best moment to define the security requirements of an application?

- \square Before the project is even thought of.
- \Box At system shut down.
- □ Just before integration testing.
- □ During the functional system requirements elicitation phase.
- □ Before system operational start-up.

Q5 Which of the following is not a risk reduction strategy?

- □ Additional testing effort.
- \square Project closure.
- \Box N-version programming.
- □ Integration of fault-tolerant components.
- \Box Code reviews.

Q6 Why do recent CPUs reveal annoying hardware design faults allowing for side-channel oriented attacks ?

- □ Because of CPU manufacturers lack of control on their fab suppliers.
- □ Because of electromagnetic emissions and the lack of TEMPEST protection.
- □ Because of hardware density and sensitivity to voltage/intensity current surges.
- □ Because it is possible to read the content of CPU internal memories by fast substrate deconstruction near 0°K.
- □ Because multiple levels of caches necessitate the introduction of complex memory barriers instructions that developers do not use.
- □ Because of temporal correlation between speculative execution decision, data loaded by the CPU and threads unauthorized to access the data.

Q7 What is the typical activity set as an exception in the prologue of *Regulation (EU)* 2016/679 on the protection of naturals persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data – and thus, informally, exempt of respecting GDPR :

- \Box Apple and watermelon culture
- □ Triple redundant rocket launch
- □ Big data monetization
- □ Health management statistics
- □ National security

Q8 Which habilitation is needed to access a document of security classication (TOP SECRET, {NAVY, LOGISTICS, BUDGET, FUEL}) under the Bell-La Padula security policy (and the natural ordering of labels) :

- □ (TOP SECRET, { AIR FORCE, SAC, NAVY, BUDGET, NUCLEAR})
- □ (TOP SECRET, { NAVY, LOGISTICS, FUEL, SALARIES, BANKING, BUDGET})
- $\Box \quad (PUBLIC, \{NAVY\})$
- □ (SECRET, { HEADQUARTERS, SALARIES })
- □ (CONFIDENTIAL, { SPACE, TECHNICAL, ENGINE})

Q9 What is the security assurance needed to reach the most secure level of the evaluation in normalized evaluation criteria :

- □ The ability to select between a discretionary or mandatory security policy at login
- □ A formal proof of (a model) of the security kernel functions and its implementation
- □ A mandatory security policy based on security levels (associated to objects)
- \Box A trusted execution path (SysRq).
- □ Presidential signature of the certificate.

Q10 What are you avoiding with a prepared SQL query which has most impact with respect to security?

- \Box Performance issues.
- □ The need to manage runtime execution errors due to malformed query.
- □ Potential side effects of user input.
- □ Difficulty with application of SQL quality rules (in embedded SQL).
- □ The possibility to talk directly with the RDBMS engine via TCP.

Part II (10 pts)

This part is composed of five open questions (2 pts each). Please write down your answer *on this document* in the appropriate space.

Question 1

Give an original example of a security objective (i.e. a requirement) corresponding to several types of embedded systems:

• a portable music player

• a (piece of) rocket landing computer

• an insulin pump

Question 2

Consider an imaginary *automatic* software download system for all the systems of a modern plane, using a single VPN tunnel with the manufacturer.

Propose 4 security objectives for this system (among all the imaginable ones).

2 of them corresponding to the needs of the manufacturer of the plane :

And the 2 others to the needs of the airports hosting the plane :

Question 3

Imagine a regular PC desktop system which boot sequence is protected by a TPM. (This is the single major security measure.) Identify and explain 2 advantages and 2 drawbacks of this kind of protection (setup naturally for this kind of mechanism).

Question 4

Propose 3 programming rules in C with the objective of protecting primarily system *availability*, against malicious faults of course.

Question 5

Explain the advantages and drawbacks of a company organization with IT auditors totally independent from the operational IT department.